## **Resource Summary Report**

Generated by <u>NIF</u> on Apr 20, 2025

# **CiteULike**

RRID:SCR\_013758 Type: Tool

**Proper Citation** 

CiteULike (RRID:SCR\_013758)

#### **Resource Information**

URL: http://www.citeulike.org

Proper Citation: CiteULike (RRID:SCR\_013758)

**Description:** A web application which stores and organizes scholarly papers and references. Papers of interest identified from the web can be added to the users' personal library and the associated references will be automatically extracted.

Resource Type: software resource

Keywords: web application, software, publications, research

Funding: Oversity Ltd.

Availability: free, public

Resource Name: CiteULike

Resource ID: SCR\_013758

Record Creation Time: 20220129T080317+0000

Record Last Update: 20250420T014701+0000

#### **Ratings and Alerts**

No rating or validation information has been found for CiteULike.

No alerts have been found for CiteULike.

### Data and Source Information

Source: SciCrunch Registry

#### **Usage and Citation Metrics**

We found 18 mentions in open access literature.

Listed below are recent publications. The full list is available at NIF.

Sivanandy P, et al. (2024) A systematic review of efficacy and safety of newer drugs approved from 2016 to 2023 for the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections. Annals of medicine, 56(1), 2403724.

Sivanandy P, et al. (2023) Efficacy and Safety of Two-Drug Regimens That Are Approved from 2018 to 2022 for the Treatment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Disease and Its Opportunistic Infections. Microorganisms, 11(6).

Hou J, et al. (2020) Identifying the princes base on Altmetrics: An awakening mechanism of sleeping beauties from the perspective of social media. PloS one, 15(11), e0241772.

Azer SA, et al. (2019) Top-cited articles in medical professionalism: a bibliometric analysis versus altmetric scores. BMJ open, 9(7), e029433.

Araujo AC, et al. (2018) Impact of Low Back Pain Clinical Trials Measured by the Altmetric Score: Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of medical Internet research, 20(4), e86.

Haneef R, et al. (2017) Factors associated with online media attention to research: a cohort study of articles evaluating cancer treatments. Research integrity and peer review, 2, 9.

Gasparyan AY, et al. (2017) Researcher and Author Profiles: Opportunities, Advantages, and Limitations. Journal of Korean medical science, 32(11), 1749.

Finch T, et al. (2017) Tweeting birds: online mentions predict future citations in ornithology. Royal Society open science, 4(11), 171371.

Sharp MK, et al. (2017) Dissemination of 2014 dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) trial results: a systematic review of scholarly and media attention over 7 months. BMJ open, 7(11), e014503.

Wang G, et al. (2017) Social and content aware One-Class recommendation of papers in scientific social networks. PloS one, 12(8), e0181380.

Ru B, et al. (2017) Evaluation of the informatician perspective: determining types of research papers preferred by clinicians. BMC medical informatics and decision making, 17(Suppl 2), 74.

Page RD, et al. (2016) Surfacing the deep data of taxonomy. ZooKeys(550), 247.

Ahmed MK, et al. (2011) Citing JCDR articles: An insight. Journal of cardiovascular disease research, 2(3), 192.

Maxmen A, et al. (2010) Science networking gets serious. Cell, 141(3), 387.

Good BM, et al. (2009) Social tagging in the life sciences: characterizing a new metadata resource for bioinformatics. BMC bioinformatics, 10, 313.

Saunders N, et al. (2009) Microblogging the ISMB: a new approach to conference reporting. PLoS computational biology, 5(1), e1000263.

Hull D, et al. (2008) Defrosting the digital library: bibliographic tools for the next generation web. PLoS computational biology, 4(10), e1000204.

Eaton AD, et al. (2006) HubMed: a web-based biomedical literature search interface. Nucleic acids research, 34(Web Server issue), W745.