

Resource Summary Report

Generated by [NIF](#) on Aug 27, 2026

ROARMAP: Registry of Open Access Repositories Mandatory Archiving Policies

RRID:SCR_005950

Type: Tool

Proper Citation

ROARMAP: Registry of Open Access Repositories Mandatory Archiving Policies
(RRID:SCR_005950)

Resource Information

URL: <http://roarmap.eprints.org/>

Proper Citation: ROARMAP: Registry of Open Access Repositories Mandatory Archiving Policies (RRID:SCR_005950)

Description: International registry of institutional open access mandates. Total Mandates to Date (by type) (2013): * Institutional Mandates (166) * Sub-Institutional Mandates (36) * Multi-Institutional Mandates (4) * Funder Mandates (80) * Thesis Mandates (101) * Proposed Institutional Mandates (6) * Proposed Sub-Institutional Mandates (4) * Proposed Multi-Institutional Mandates (6) * Proposed Funder Mandates (11)

Abbreviations: ROARMAP

Resource Type: data or information resource, database, registry

Keywords: open unspecified licence, policy, mandate, archive, funder, thesis, proposed, institution

Funding: JISC

Availability: Open Access, The community can contribute to this resource

Resource Name: ROARMAP: Registry of Open Access Repositories Mandatory Archiving Policies

Resource ID: SCR_005950

Alternate IDs: nlx_151308

Record Creation Time: 20220129T080233+0000

Record Last Update: 20260821T193750+0000

Ratings and Alerts

No rating or validation information has been found for ROARMAP: Registry of Open Access Repositories Mandatory Archiving Policies.

No alerts have been found for ROARMAP: Registry of Open Access Repositories Mandatory Archiving Policies.

Data and Source Information

Source: [SciCrunch Registry](#)

Usage and Citation Metrics

We found 9 mentions in open access literature.

Listed below are recent publications. The full list is available at [NIF](#) .

Pontika N, et al. (2022) How do career promotion policies affect research publications and open access? Open research Europe, 2, 99.

Simard MA, et al. (2022) National differences in dissemination and use of open access literature. PloS one, 17(8), e0272730.

Houck MM, et al. (2019) What is open-access publishing and what it means for the forensic enterprise. Forensic science international. Synergy, 1, 290.

Berg DR, et al. (2018) The case for openness in engineering research. F1000Research, 7, 501.

McKiernan EC, et al. (2016) How open science helps researchers succeed. eLife, 5.

Tennant JP, et al. (2016) The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: an evidence-based review. F1000Research, 5, 632.

Hines T, et al. (2016) Viewpoint: Open is an Opportunity and a Choice. The Journal of biocommunication, 40(1), e2.

Bari?? H, et al. (2013) Open access - is this the future of medical publishing? Croatian medical journal, 54(4), 315.

Gasparyan AY, et al. (2013) Open access: changing global science publishing. Croatian medical journal, 54(4), 403.