Resource Summary Report

Generated by NIF on May 15, 2025

FORCE11

RRID:SCR_005334
Type: Tool

Proper Citation

FORCE11 (RRID:SCR_005334)

Resource Information

URL: http://force11.org/

Proper Citation: FORCE11 (RRID:SCR_005334)

Description: A collaboration which works to transform scholarly communications through advanced use of computers and the Web. FORCE11 advocates the digital publishing of papers in order to enable more effective scholarly communication. The virtual community also advocates the publication of software tools and research communication by means of social media channels. As such, FORCE11 provides access to information and tools for the wider scientific community.

Abbreviations: FORCE11

Synonyms: FORCE11 - the Future of Research Communications and e-Scholarship, Force 11

Resource Type: narrative resource, blog, knowledge environment, community building portal, data or information resource, portal

Keywords: scholarly communication, scholarship, dissemination, data sharing, knowledge, information technology, semantics, digital publishing, information gathering, research communication, e-scholarship, digital object, scientific communication

Funding: Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation

Availability: Free, Public, The community can contribute to this resource, Acknowledgement requested

Resource Name: FORCE11

Resource ID: SCR_005334

Alternate IDs: nlx_149434

Record Creation Time: 20220129T080229+0000

Record Last Update: 20250514T061335+0000

Ratings and Alerts

No rating or validation information has been found for FORCE11.

No alerts have been found for FORCE11.

Data and Source Information

Source: SciCrunch Registry

Usage and Citation Metrics

We found 13 mentions in open access literature.

Listed below are recent publications. The full list is available at NIF.

Abrams MB, et al. (2022) A Standards Organization for Open and FAIR Neuroscience: the International Neuroinformatics Coordinating Facility. Neuroinformatics, 20(1), 25.

de Crécy-Lagard V, et al. (2022) A roadmap for the functional annotation of protein families: a community perspective. Database : the journal of biological databases and curation, 2022.

Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, et al. (2019) Advancing interdisciplinary research in head and neck cancer through a multicenter longitudinal prospective cohort study: the NETherlands QUality of life and BIomedical Cohort (NET-QUBIC) data warehouse and biobank. BMC cancer, 19(1), 765.

Wilkinson MD, et al. (2018) A design framework and exemplar metrics for FAIRness. Scientific data, 5, 180118.

Champieux R, et al. (2018) Metrics Toolkit: an online evidence-based resource for navigating the research metrics landscape. Journal of the Medical Library Association : JMLA, 106(4), 496.

Lancaster AK, et al. (2018) A new paradigm for the scientific enterprise: nurturing the ecosystem. F1000Research, 7, 803.

Rebhan M, et al. (2017) Towards a systems approach for chronic diseases, based on health

state modeling. F1000Research, 6, 309.

Sansone SA, et al. (2017) DATS, the data tag suite to enable discoverability of datasets. Scientific data, 4, 170059.

Vita R, et al. (2016) Reproducibility and conflicts in immune epitope data. Immunology, 147(3), 349.

Tracz V, et al. (2016) Towards an open science publishing platform. F1000Research, 5, 130.

Bandrowski A, et al. (2016) The Resource Identification Initiative: A Cultural Shift in Publishing. The Journal of comparative neurology, 524(1), 8.

Rocca-Serra P, et al. (2016) Data standards can boost metabolomics research, and if there is a will, there is a way. Metabolomics : Official journal of the Metabolomic Society, 12, 14.

Huang YH, et al. (2015) Citing a Data Repository: A Case Study of the Protein Data Bank. PloS one, 10(8), e0136631.